Research Note

Scoping Review on the Application of DCE in Health Sector Research in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Ayako Honda* and Amarech Obse**

I Introduction

The use of discrete choice experiment (DCE) in health sector research in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) remains recent but is rapidly increasing. DCE is a quantitative method to elicit preferences that assumes individual decisions about a good or service are determined by the attributes or characteristics of that good or service ¹⁻³⁾. Consequently, DCE is considered to be a useful tool for obtaining peoples' views on specific issues and, if the study results are appropriately communicated to policy makers, DCE allows people's views to be considered in policy making ⁴⁾. This scoping review aims to identify the extent to which the DCE has been applied in health sector research in LMICs and assess the potential for DCE studies to play a role in health sector research while also considering the limitations of the approach. The paper also attempts to identify features of DCE studies in LMICs that are specific to the context and that should be considered in future DCE research in LMICs.

II Methods

A combination of: (1) citation database searches (PubMed and Econlit); (2) consultation of review papers on the relevant topics; and (3) review of the bibliographies in the papers identified in the previous search strategies identified 75 documents (both peer reviewed journal articles and grey literature) reporting research that applied DCE to health sector research in LMICs. These documents were reviewed in terms of background information, attributes, experimental design, survey administration method, and estimation procedure. In addition, the review looked at study design features, including the use of qualitative approach, that were utilised to address contextual issues when DCEs were undertaken in LMICs.

II Summary of findings

Areas of the DCE application

The use of DCE in health sector research in LMICs remains recent, and largely confined to the last decade: all of the papers identified except Chomiz (1998) ⁵⁾ were published after 2005. Moreover, 66 of the 75 papers were published after 2010.

The application of DCE in health research in LMICs is limited to certain areas, such as the motivation and retention of the health workforce (job choice, 28 of 75 papers) ⁵⁻³²⁾. The application of DCE to examine patient preferences in health service delivery has increased over the last five years, including for categories

^{*} Department of Economics, Sophia University

^{**} Health Economics Unit, University of Cape Town

such as contraceptives, facility child birth, HIV programmes, quality of health serrices, and health provider choice ³³⁻⁶³⁾. DCE was also used to examine the relative importance of different criteria for priority setting ⁶⁴⁻⁶⁸⁾, prevention and health promotion programmes ⁶⁹⁻⁷³⁾, and assessment of the health worker resistance to new interventions ⁷⁴⁾. DCE was used to examine health care financing issues including provider payment mechanism preferences for community based health insurance (CBHI) ⁷⁵⁾, preferences for CBHI design schemes ⁷⁶⁾, and benefit entitlements for mandatory health insurance ⁷⁷⁾. In addition, two studies used the results of DCE in the economic evaluation of interventions for health worker retention ^{78,79)}.

While the most studied cadres in DCE studies on job preferences in LMIC was medical students and clinicians ^{5, 10, 12, 13, 15, 18, 28, 29, 31, 32)}, an increasing number of studies look at the job preferences of nurses, nursing students, midwives ^{6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 20)}, and a mix of various health professions ^{16, 19, 21, 26, 30)}. Two studies applied DCE to examine the motivation and retention of community health workers (CHWs) ^{22, 25)}.

Of the 75 DCE studies identified, 43 were undertaken in Africa; 21 in Asia; six in Latin America; and one in the Middle East. Two cross-country studies looked at more than two countries in Africa; ^{44, 58)} one study included countries in both Asia and Africa; ⁹⁾ and another studied countries in Asia, Africa and Latin America ⁶⁷⁾. Of the studies in Africa, only four DCE studies were undertaken in Francophone Africa ^{21, 26, 37, 75)}. Recently, there are an increasing number of DCE studies undertaken in the health sector in East Asia ^{32, 54, 56, 72, 82)}.

Attributes and attribute levels

The number of attributes included in the studies ranged from four to eight with an average of six. In addition to a review of relevant literature, most of the studies employed a qualitative approach (such as group discussions and/or in-depth interviews) to establish attributes and assign attribute levels. A number of studies did not clearly specify the methods used to analyse the qualitative data for the development of attributes and levels.

Experimental design

All of the studies employed fractional factorial design. Orthogonal design, based on orthogonal arrays, was most commonly used before 2010, but many of the studies published after 2010 employed statistically efficient designs, known as D-efficiency designs, to generate choice sets. Most of the studies used multiple choice design; with majority of these including an opt-out choice. Four studies applied 'labelled choice' design where the options presented had specific labels (such as 'rural job' and 'urban job' instead of 'job A' and 'job B') ^{9, 14, 15, 18)}. The number of choice sets varied from six to 24.

Survey administration

Sample sizes ranged from 30 to 3003, depending on the study aim and objectives: studies on priority setting had relatively small sample sizes (30-152), targeting the survey to health policy makers and mid-level health managers; and studies to elicit people's preferences for health care or quality of care used larger sample sizes (300-3003). DCE studies with large sample sizes (i.e. more than 1,000) were undertaken as part of larger cohort studies or randomized control trials (RCTs). Most of the priority setting and job choice studies used self-administered questionnaires. However, the use of face-to-face interviews for job choice studies increased

after 2010 11, 12, 14, 15, 21-23, 25, 30)

Estimation procedure

The analysis of DCE data typically involves regression models that have a dichotomous or polychotomous categorical dependent variable, such as a probit, logit, or multinominal logit specification ⁸¹⁾. Many recent studies have applied mixed logit specifications that have relaxed the restrictions of multinomial logit models by allowing for heterogeneity of preferences for attributes by study participants ^{27, 32, 51, 53, 61, 62, 72, 77)}. A number of studies used latent class model for analysis, which has the same advantages as the mixed logit model ^{28, 50, 51, 58)}.

Incorporation of qualitative approach

Most of the studies incorporate a qualitative approach to identify attributes that consider the study context and population. Individual interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs) are the most common qualitative approaches used when exploring attributes for consideration in DCE studies. Some studies used discussions with policy makers to identify attributes with greater policy relevance and that can realistically be implemented in the study context ^{44, 45, 77, 82)}. Some studies used qualitative approaches to 'validate' and/or discuss the study results with people who are knowledgeable about the study context ^{23, 63)}. There is variation in the extent to which the methodological details of qualitative approaches are described, including on the number of interviews and FGDs undertaken, and in the analysis of qualitative data.

Specific features of DCE design required due to the LMIC setting

An increasing number of studies are using pictures or graphics to visually describe choice sets, facilitate respondent comprehension of choice tasks and to stimulate interest in participation in the study ^{39, 42, 45, 63, 76)}. In LMICs, particularly in Sub-Saharan African settings, face-to-face interviews are more commonly used to administer DCE than self-administered questionnaires, partly due to inadequate development of survey infrastructure. Some studies used more than two (local) languages to administer DCE surveys (using different language versions of the questionnaire) to cope with diversity in the study context and the population ^{19, 22, 34, 38, 39, 63)}. Practical aspects associated with the DCE administration, including the availability of details on the study population, geographical access, security issues, etc., affected sampling strategies in a number of studies ^{11, 33, 63)}.

IV Implications for future DCE studies in LMICs

The diversity in topics studied by applying DCE in higher income countries is greater than that in LMIC settings. Literature reviews on DCE studies, including both high income countries and LMICs, indicate that DCE has been applied to examine: (1) factors relating to the patient experience; (2) health outcome valuations; (3) trade-offs between health outcomes and the patient experience; (4) estimation of utility weights within the Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALY) framework; (5) job choices; (6) priority setting frameworks; (7) health professionals' preferences for treatment options; and (8) preferences for health insurance ⁸³⁾. In the last few years, an increasing number of DCE studies in LMICs have looked at more diverse health systems issues, including healthcare provider choice, health insurance benefits, HIV/AIDS programmes, treatment choices and prevention and health promotion options.

While job preferences for health professions, particularly relating to the motivation and retention of health professionals in rural areas, is the area most studied by DCE in LMIC settings, specific cadres of health professionals have been less studied, such as CHWs. In spite of the high level of policy relevance in LMIC settings, application of DCEs to examine managerial issues, such as the preferences of health administrators for certain health interventions or health professionals' preferences for certain managerial tools in creating a favourable working environment, has not been undertaken in LMIC settings to date.

Application of the DCE approach in LMIC settings requires use of specific methodological features to adapt the approach to the study context and population ⁸⁴⁾. Features include: use of a qualitative measures to identify attributes that reflect the diversity and uniqueness of the study context; use of pictures / graphics to visually present choice sets; use of appropriate language(s) in the questionnaire; use of face-to-face interviews to administer DCE surveys; consideration of practical aspects in administering the study when determining sampling strategies. It is important to further investigate how these issues affect respondents' engagement, the face-validity of the method and subsequent study results. This is indispensable if study results are to be used to inform policy decision-making.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Ms Ying Wang and Mr Yuma Noritomo for their assistance in updating the review of relevant literature.

References

- 1) Hensher DA, Rose JM, Greene WH: **Applied Choice Analysis: A Primer**. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- 2) Louviere J, Hensher D, Swait J: Stated Choice Methods: Analysis and Application, First edition edn. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- 3) Ryan M, Gerard K, Amaya-Amaya M (eds.): Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Value Health and Health Care. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer; 2008.
- 4) Ryan M, Bate A, Eastmond CJ, Ludbrook A: Use of discrete choice experiments to elicit preferences. *Quality in Health Care* 2001, **10**(suppl 1): i55-i60.
- 5) Chomitz K, Setiadi G, Azwar A, Ismail N, Idiyarti: What do doctors want? Developing incentives for doctors to serve in Indonesia's rural and remote areas. In: Policy Research Working Papers. The World Bank; 1999.
- 6) Penn-Kekana L, Blaauw D, Tint KS, Monareng D, Chege J: Nursing Staff Dynamics and Implications for Maternal Health Provision in Public Health Facilities in the Context of HIV/AIDS. In. Johannesburg: Centre for Health Policy, School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand; 2005.
- 7) Hanson K, Jack W: Incentives Could Induce Ethiopian Doctors And Nurses To Work In Rural Settings. *Health Affairs* 2010, **29**(8): 1452-1460.
- 8) Mangham L, Hanson K: Employment preferences of public sector nurses in Malawi: results from

- a discrete choice experiment, Tropical Medicine & International Health 2008, 13(12): 1433-1441.
- 9) Blaauw D, Erasmus E, Pagaiya N, Tangcharoensathein V, Mullei K, Mudhune S, Goodman C, English M, Lagarde M: Policy interventions that attract nurses to rural areas: a multicountry discrete choice experiment. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010, 88(5): 350-356.
- 10) Kruk ME, Johnson JC, Gyakobo M, Agyei-Baffour P, Asabir K, Kotha SR, Kwansah J, Nakua E, Snow RC, Dzodzomenyo M: Rural practice preferences among medical students in Ghana: a discrete choice experiment. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010, 88(5): 333-341.
- 11) Vujicic M, Alfano M, Ryan M, Wesseh S, Brown-Annan J: Policy Options to Attract Nurses to Rural Liberia: Evidence From A Discrete Choice Experiment. In: *Health, Nutrition and Population (HNP) Discussion Paper*. Washington, DC: The World Bank; 2010.
- 12) Vujicic M, Shengelia B, Alfano M, Thu HB: Physician shortages in rural Vietnam: using a labor market approach to inform policy. Social Science & Medicine 2011, 73(7): 970-977.
- 13) Kolstad J: How to make rural jobs more attractive to health workers. Findings from a discrete choice experiment in Tanzania. *Health Economics* 2011, **20**(2): 196-211.
- 14) Huicho L, Miranda JJ, Diez-Canseco F, Lema C, Lescano AG, Lagarde M, Blaauw D: **Job preferences of nurses and midwives for taking up a rural job in Peru: a discrete choice experiment**. *PloS One* 2012, **7**(12): e50315.
- 15) Miranda JJ, Diez-Canseco F, Lema C, Lescano AG, Lagarde M, Blaauw D, Huicho L: Stated preferences of doctors for choosing a job in rural areas of Peru: a discrete choice experiment. *PloS One* 2012, 7(12): e50567.
- 16) Rockers P, Jaskiewicz W, Wurts L, Kruk M, Mgomella G, Ntalazi F, Tulenko K: **Preferences for working** in rural clinics among trainee health professionals in Uganda: a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Health Services Research* 2012, **12**: 212.
- 17) Ageyi-Baffour P, Rominski S, Nakua E, Gyakobo M, Lori JR: Factors that influence midwifery students in Ghana when deciding where to practice: a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Medical Education* 2013, **13**: 64.
- 18) Lagarde M, Pagaiya N, Tangcharoensathian V, Blaauw D: One size does not fit all: investigating doctors' stated preference heterogeneity for job incentives to inform policy in Thailand. *Health Economics* 2013, **22**(12): 1452-1469.
- 19) Rao KD, Ryan M, Shroff Z, Vujicic M, Ramani S, Berman P: Rural clinician scarcity and job preferences of doctors and nurses in India: a discrete choice experiment. *PloS One* 2013, 8(12): e82984.
- 20) Rockers PC, Jaskiewicz W, Kruk ME, Phathammavong O, Vangkonevilay P, Paphassarang C, Phachanh IT, Wurts L, Tulenko K: Differences in preferences for rural job postings between nursing students and practicing nurses: evidence from a discrete choice experiment in Lao People's Democratic Republic. Human Resources for Health 2013, 11: 22.
- 21) Yaya Bocoum F, Koné E, Kouanda S, Yaméogo WME, Bado AR: Which incentive package will retain regionalized health personnel in Burkina Faso: a discrete choice experiment. *Human Resources for Health* 2014, 12(Suppl 1): S7.
- 22) Brunie A, Wamala-Mucheri P, Otterness C, Akol A, Chen M, Bufumbo L, Weaver M: Keeping

- community health workers in Uganda motivated: key challenges, facilitators, and preferred program inputs. *Global Health Science and Practice* 2014, **2**(1): 103-116.
- 23) Honda A, Vio F: Incentives for non-physician health professionals to work in the rural and remote areas of Mozambique--a discrete choice experiment for eliciting job preferences. *Human Resources for Health* 2015, 13: 23.
- 24) Kunaviktikul W, Chitpakdee B, Srisuphan W, Bossert T: **Preferred choice of work setting among** nurses in Thailand: A discrete choice experiment. *Nursing & Health Sciences Sci* 2015, **17**(1): 126-133.
- 25) Kasteng F, Settumba S, Kallander K, Vassall A: Valuing the work of unpaid community health workers and exploring the incentives to volunteering in rural Africa. *Health Policy and Planning* 2015.
- 26) Robyn PJ, Shroff Z, Zang OR, Kingue S, Djienouassi S, Kouontchou C, Sorgho G: Addressing health workforce distribution concerns: a discrete choice experiment to develop rural retention strategies in Cameroon. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management* 2015, 4(3): 169-180.
- 27) Efendi F, Chen CM, Nursalam N, Andriyani NW, Kurniati A, Nancarrow SA: **How to attract health students to remote areas in Indonesia: a discrete choice experiment**. *International Journal of Health Planning and Management* 2016, **31**(4): 430-445.
- 28) Mandeville KL, Ulaya G, Lagarde M, Muula AS, Dzowela T, Hanson K: The use of specialty training to retain doctors in Malawi: A discrete choice experiment. Social Science & Medicine 2016, 169: 109-118.
- 29) Shiratori S, Agyekum EO, Shibanuma A, Oduro A, Okawa S, Enuameh Y, Yasuoka J, Kikuchi K, Gyapong M, Owusu-Agyei S et al: Motivation and incentive preferences of community health officers in Ghana: an economic behavioral experiment approach. *Human Resources for Health* 2016, 14(1): 53.
- 30) Smitz MF, Witter S, Lemiere C, Eozenou PH, Lievens T, Zaman RU, Engelhardt K, Hou X: Understanding Health Workers' Job Preferences to Improve Rural Retention in Timor-Leste: Findings from a Discrete Choice Experiment. *PloS One* 2016, 11(11): e0165940.
- 31) Takemura T, Kielmann K, Blaauw D: Job preferences among clinical officers in public sector facilities in rural Kenya: a discrete choice experiment. *Human Resources for Health* 2016, 14:1.
- 32) Liu S, Li S, Yang R, Liu T, Chen G: Job preferences for medical students in China: A discrete choice experiment. *Medicine* 2018, 97(38): e12358.
- 33) Hanson K, McPake B, Nakamba P, Archard L: **Preferences for hospital quality in Zambia: results** from a discrete choice experiment. *Health Economics* 2005, **14**(7): 687-701.
- 34) Kruk ME, Paczkowski M, Tegegn A, Tessema F, Hadley C, Asefa M, Galea S: Women's preferences for obstetric care in rural Ethiopia: a population-based discrete choice experiment in a region with low rates of facility delivery. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2010, **64**(11): 984-988.
- 35) Kruk ME, Paczkowski M, Mbaruku G, de Pinho H, Galea S: Women's preferences for place of delivery in rural Tanzania: a population-based discrete choice experiment. *American Journal of Public Health* 2009, **99**(9): 1666-1672.
- 36) Kruk ME, Rockers PC, Tornorlah Varpilah S, Macauley R: **Population preferences for health care in liberia: insights for rebuilding a health system**. *Health Services Research* 2011, **46**(6pt2):2057-2078.

- 37) Diaby V, Die Kakou H, Lachaine J: Eliciting preferences for reimbursed drugs selection criteria in Cote d'Ivoire. *Patient* 2011, 4(2): 125-131.
- 38) Paczkowski MM, Kruk ME, Tessema F, Tegegn A, Galea S: Depressive symptoms and posttraumatic stress disorder as determinants of preference weights for attributes of obstetric care among Ethiopian women. *PloS One* 2012, **7**(10): e46788.
- 39) Terris-Prestholt F, Hanson K, MacPhail C, Vickerman P, Rees H, Watts C: How much demand for New HIV prevention technologies can we really expect? Results from a discrete choice experiment in South Africa. *PloS One* 2013, 8(12): e83193.
- 40) Ostermann J, Njau B, Brown DS, Muhlbacher A, Thielman N: Heterogeneous HIV testing preferences in an urban setting in Tanzania: results from a discrete choice experiment. *PloS One* 2014, 9(3): e92100.
- 41) Agyei-Baffour P, Boahemaa MY, Addy EA: Contraceptive preferences and use among auto artisanal workers in the informal sector of Kumasi, Ghana: a discrete choice experiment. *Reproductive Health* 2015, **12**: 32.
- 42) Michaels-Igbokwe C, Lagarde M, Cairns J, Terris-Prestholt F: **Designing a package of sexual and** reproductive health and HIV outreach services to meet the heterogeneous preferences of young people in Malawi: results from a discrete choice experiment. *Health Economics Review* 2015, 5: 9.
- 43) Augustovski F, Beratarrechea A, Irazola V, Rubinstein F, Tesolin P, Gonzalez J, Lencina V, Scolnik M, Waimann C, Navarta D et al: Patient preferences for biologic agents in rheumatoid arthritis: a discrete-choice experiment. *Value in Health* 2013, **16**(2): 385-393.
- 44) Kruk ME, Riley PL, Palma AM, Adhikari S, Ahoua L, Arnaldo C, Belo DF, Brusamento S, Cumba LI, Dziuban EJ et al: How Can the Health System Retain Women in HIV Treatment for a Lifetime? A Discrete Choice Experiment in Ethiopia and Mozambique. *PloS One* 2016, 11(8): e0160764.
- 45) Larson E, Vail D, Mbaruku GM, Kimweri A, Freedman LP, Kruk ME: Moving Toward Patient-Centered Care in Africa: A Discrete Choice Experiment of Preferences for Delivery Care among 3,003 Tanzanian Women. *PloS One* 2015, 10(8): e0135621.
- 46) Medina-Lara A, Mujica-Mota RE, Kunkwenzu ED, Lalloo DG: Stated preferences for anti-malarial drug characteristics in Zomba, a malaria endemic area of Malawi. *Malaria Journal* 2014, 13:259.
- 47) Kohler RE, Gopal S, Lee CN, Weiner BJ, Reeve BB, Wheeler SB: **Breast Cancer Knowledge**, **Behaviors**, and **Preferences in Malawi: Implications for Early Detection Interventions From a Discrete Choice Experiment**. *Journal of Global Oncology* 2017, **3**(5): 480-489.
- 48) Mazzoni A, Althabe F, Gutierrez L, Gibbons L, Liu NH, Bonotti AM, Izbizky GH, Ferrary M, Viergue N, Vigil SI et al: Women's preferences and mode of delivery in public and private hospitals: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2016, **16**: 34.
- 49) Newman PA, Cameron MP, Roungprakhon S, Tepjan S, Scarpa R: Acceptability and Preferences for Hypothetical Rectal Microbicides among a Community Sample of Young Men Who Have Sex with Men and Transgender Women in Thailand: A Discrete Choice Experiment. AIDS and Behavior 2016, 20(11): 2588-2601.
- 50) Quaife M, Terris-Prestholt F, Eakle R, Cabrera Escobar MA, Kilbourne-Brook M, Myundura M, Meyer-

- Rath G, Delany-Moretlwe S, Vickerman P: The cost-effectiveness of multi-purpose HIV and pregnancy prevention technologies in South Africa. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* 2018, 21(3).
- 51) Tang C, Xu J, Zhang M: The choice and preference for public-private health care among urban residents in China: evidence from a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Health Services Research* 2016, **16**(1): 580.
- 52) Cernauskas V, Angeli F, Jaiswal AK, Pavlova M: Underlying determinants of health provider choice in urban slums: results from a discrete choice experiment in Ahmedabad, India. *BMC Health Services Research* 2018, **18**(1): 473.
- 53) Lungu EA, Guda Obse A, Darker C, Biesma R: What influences where they seek care? Caregivers' preferences for under-five child healthcare services in urban slums of Malawi: A discrete choice experiment. *PloS One* 2018. 13(1): e0189940.
- 54) Pan SW, Durvasula M, Ong JJ, Liu C, Tang W, Fu H, Wei C, Wang C, Terris-Prestholt F, Tucker JD: No Place Like Home? Disentangling Preferences for HIV Testing Locations and Services Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in China. *AIDS and Behavior* 2018.
- 55) Rahimi F, Rasekh HR, Abbasian E, Peiravian F, Etemadifar M, Ashtari F, Sabzghabaee AM, Amirsadri MR: Patient preferences for Interferon-beta in Iran: A discrete choice experiment. *PloS One* 2018, **13**(3): e0193090.
- 56) Safarnejad A, Pavlova M, Son VH, Phuong HL, Groot W: Criteria for prioritization of HIV programs in Viet Nam: a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Health Services Research* 2017, 17(1): 719.
- 57) Strauss M, George G, Mantell JE, Romo ML, Mwai E, Nyaga EN, Odhiambo JO, Govender K, Kelvin EA: Stated and revealed preferences for HIV testing: can oral self-testing help to increase uptake amongst truck drivers in Kenya? *BMC Public Health* 2018, **18**(1): 1231.
- 58) Minnis AM, Browne EN, Boeri M, Agot K, van der Straten A, Ahmed K, Weinrib R, Mansfield C: Young women's stated preferences for biomedical HIV prevention: results of a discrete choice experiment in Kenya and South Africa. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2019, 80(4): 394-403.
- 59) Strauss M, George G, Lansdell E, Mantell JE, Govender K, Romo M, Odhiambo J, Mwai E, Nyaga EN, Kelvin EA: HIV testing preferences among long distance truck drivers in Kenya: a discrete choice experiment. *AIDS Care* 2018, **30**(1): 72-80.
- 60) Strauss M, George GL, Rhodes BD: Determining Preferences Related to HIV Counselling and Testing Services Among High School Learners in KwaZulu-Natal: A Discrete Choice Experiment. AIDS and Behavior 2018, 22(1): 64-76.
- 61) Wijnen BFM, Van Engelen R, Ostermann J, Muhlbacher A, Hendriks AFW, Conde R, Gonzalez JGR, Govers MJG, Evers S, Hiligsmann M: A discrete choice experiment to investigate patient preferences for HIV testing programs in Bogota, Colombia. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research 2018: 1-7.
- 62) Zanolini A, Sikombe K, Sikazwe I, Eshun-Wilson I, Somwe P, Bolton Moore C, Topp SM, Czaicki N, Beres LK, Mwamba CP et al: Understanding preferences for HIV care and treatment in Zambia: Evidence from a discrete choice experiment among patients who have been lost to follow-up.

- PLoS Medicine 2018, 15(8): e1002636.
- 63) Honda A, Ryan M, van Niekerk R, McIntyre D: Improving the public health sector in South Africa: eliciting public preferences using a discrete choice experiment. *Health Policy and Planning* 2015, 30(5): 600-611.
- 64) Baltussen R, Stolk E, Chisholm D, Aikins M: Towards a multi-criteria approach for priority setting: an application to Ghana. *Health Economics* 2006, **15**(7): 689-696.
- 65) Baltussen R, ten Asbroek AH, Koolman X, Shrestha N, Bhattarai P, Niessen LW: **Priority setting using multiple criteria: should a lung health programme be implemented in Nepal?** *Health Policy and Planning* 2007, **22**(3): 178-185.
- 66) Jehu-Appiah C, Baltussen R, Acquah C, Aikins M, Amah d'Almeida S, Bosu WK, Koolman X, Lauer J, Osei D, Adjei S: Balancing Equity and Efficiency in Health Priorities in Ghana: The Use of Multicriteria Decision Analysis. *Value in Health* 2008, 11(7): 1081-1087.
- 67) Mirelman A, Mentzakis E, Kinter E, Paolucci F, Fordham R, Ozawa S, Ferraz M, Baltussen R, Niessen LW: Decision-making criteria among national policymakers in five countries: a discrete choice experiment eliciting relative preferences for equity and efficiency. *Value in Health* 2012, **15**(3): 534-539.
- 68) Youngkong S, Baltussen R, Tantivess S, Koolman X, Teerawattananon Y: Criteria for priority setting of HIV/AIDS interventions in Thailand: a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Health Services Research* 2010, 10: 197.
- 69) Buttorff C, Trujillo AJ, Diez-Canseco F, Bernabe-Ortiz A, Miranda JJ: Evaluating consumer preferences for healthy eating from Community Kitchens in low-income urban areas: A discrete choice experiment of Comedores Populares in Peru. Social Science & Medicine 2015, 140: 1-8.
- 70) Minh HV, Chung le H, Giang KB, Duc DM, Hinh ND, Mai VQ, Cuong NM, Manh PD, Duc HA, Yang JC: Potential Impact of Graphic Health Warnings on Cigarette Packages in Reducing Cigarette Demand and Smoking-Related Deaths in Vietnam. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 2016, 17(S1): 85-90.
- 71) Gingrich CD, Ricotta E, Kahwa A, Kahabuka C, Koenker H: **Demand and willingness-to-pay for bed** nets in Tanzania: results from a choice experiment. *Malaria Journal* 2017, **16**(1): 285.
- 72) Guo N, Zhang G, Zhu D, Wang J, Shi L: The effects of convenience and quality on the demand for vaccination: Results from a discrete choice experiment. *Vaccine* 2017, 35(21): 2848-2854.
- 73) Kim HY, Dowdy DW, Martinson NA, Kerrigan D, Tudor C, Golub J, Bridges JFP, Hanrahan CF: Maternal Motivation to Take Preventive Therapy in Antepartum and Postpartum Among HIV-Positive Pregnant Women in South Africa: A Choice Experiment. *AIDS and Behavior* 2018.
- 74) Lagarde M, Paintain LS, Antwi G, Jones C, Greenwood B, Chandramohan D, Tagbor H, webster J: Evaluating health workers' potential resitance to new interventions: a role for discrete choice experiment. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(8): e23588.
- 75) Robyn PJ, Barnighausen T, Souares A, Savadogo G, Bicaba B, Sie A, Sauerborn R: **Health worker** preferences for community-based health insurance payment mechanisms: a discrete choice experiment. *BMC Health Services Research* 2012, **12**: 159.

- 76) Abiiro GA, Torbica A, Kwalamasa K, De Allegri M: Eliciting community preferences for complementary micro health insurance: a discrete choice experiment in rural Malawi. Social Science & Medicine 2014, 120: 160-168.
- 77) Obse A, Ryan M, Heidenreich S, Normand C, Hailemariam D: Eliciting preferences for social health insurance in Ethiopia: a discrete choice experiment. *Health Policy and Planning* 2016, **31**(10): 1423-1432.
- 78) Mandeville KL, Hanson K, Muula AS, Dzowela T, Ulaya G, Lagarde M: Specialty training for the retention of Malawian doctors: A cost-effectiveness analysis. Social Science & Medicine (1982) 2017, 194: 87-95.
- 79) Lagarde M, Blaauw D, Cairns J: Cost-effectiveness analysis of human resources policy interventions to address the shortage of nurses in rural South Africa. Social Science & Medicine 2012. 75(5): 801-806.
- 80) Wanishayakorn T, Sornlertlumvanich K, Ngorsuraches S: Benefit-risk assessment of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins): a discrete choice experiment. *BMJ Open* 2016, **6**(2): e009387.
- 81) Ryan M, Farrar S: Using conjoint analysis to elicit preferences for health care. *BMJ* 2000, **320**: 1530 1533.
- 82) Purba FD, Hunfeld JAM, Timman R, Iskandarsyah A, Fitriana TS, Sadarjoen SS, Passchier J, Busschbach JJV: Test-Retest Reliability of EQ-5D-5L Valuation Techniques: The Composite Time Trade-Off and Discrete Choice Experiments. *Value in Health* 2018, **21**(10): 1243-1249.
- 83) De Bekker-Grob E, Ryan M, Gerard K: Discrete choice experiments in health economics: a review of the literature. *Health Economics* 2012, **21**(2): 145-172.
- 84) Bennett J, Birol E (eds.): Choice Experiments in Developing Countries: Implementation, Challenges and Policy Implications. Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar; 2010.